

**JOINT PLANNING COMMISSION  
CITY OF EMMETT / GEM COUNTY  
SUMMARY MINUTES**

**February 22, 2010  
City Hall  
9:00 A.M.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Anita Hendrix, Maria Salazar, Troy Kochner, Rick Weekly, Travis Madsen and Debbie Rouwenhorst.

**MEMBERS ABSENT WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE:** None.

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Development Services Coordinator – Jennifer Kharrl. Mayor Butticci and City Clerk Marge Lawrence were present for the Idaho Working Lands Coalition presentation.

**PUBLIC PRESENT:** Deanna Smith – Idaho Working Lands Coalition.

1. **Call to Order:** Chairman **Hendrix** called the meeting to order at 9:00 A.M.
2. **Review of Agenda:** A motion was made by Commissioner **Weekly** to approve the agenda. It was seconded by Commissioner **Salazar**. **Motion carried.**
3. **Approval of Minutes of January 25, 2010:** Commissioner **Rouwenhorst** made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted. It was seconded by Commissioner **Salazar**. **Motion carried.**
4. **New Business:**
  - a. **Idaho Working Lands Coalition Presentation:** Deanna Smith from Idaho Smart Growth presented the Commission with a power point and discussed the purpose of the Idaho Working Lands Coalition and the organizations who are part of the coalition. She went over impacts of development on farmland, market value versus production value of farmland, conserving development rights and transferring of development rights. Deanna recommended to the Commission to view the Idaho Working Lands Coalition website to see over 100 resources.
5. **Unfinished Business:**
  - a. **Master Pathway Plan Discussion:** No discussion. Discussion will be held at the next meeting.
  - b. **Discussion/Review of Public Facilities Chapter (Ch. 9):** Commissioner **Weekly** discussed the framework for developing the chapter. He is starting with

the facility and working up from there. The plan is to start with the facilities and their function and then begin to develop the strategy. His plan is to develop a matrix by facility by function and strategize by function. He asked the Commission for their input and said this framework will be flexible. The Commission discussed the proposed Charter School and the possibility of it being located on the Recreation District property. Commissioner **Weekly** will have a very rough draft of this chapter for the next meeting. The Commission supported the framework.

Chairman **Hendrix** asked the Commission if they would be interested in hearing from Dr. Knickrehm and Tom Hoppell about the proposed Charter School at their next meeting. The Commission agreed it would be nice to hear from them.

- c. **Discussion/Review of Transportation Chapter (Ch.10):** Commissioner **Madsen** handed out the draft of Chapter 10 to the Commission and they discussed what appendices to use and the format of the chapter. They discussed the possibility of creating a transportation board, preserving the railroad, maintenance of the airport runway, landscaping and community block grants. Commissioner **Madsen** will make the edits for the next meeting.

Commissioner **Madsen** will contact the Union Pacific Railroad and see if he can get a representative to come meet with the Commission.

- d. **Discussion/Review of Natural Resources & Hazardous Areas Chapter (Ch. 6):** No discussion. Discussion will be held at the next meeting.

**6. Items from Planning Director:** None.

**7. Items from Planning Commission:** None.

**8. Upcoming Meetings:** Next meeting is March 15, 2010 at 9:00 A.M.

**9. Adjournment:** A motion to adjourn was made by Commissioner **Kochner**. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Rouwenhorst**. **Motion carried.**

**The meeting was adjourned at 10:50 A.M.**

---

Chairman Anita Hendrix

---

Clerk Marge Lawrence